EXHIBIT E

Ronald G. Harris

From:

Katherine Barrett Riley < KBRiley@BarrettLawGroup.com>

Sent:

Friday, June 7, 2024 9:17 PM

To:

Ronald G. Harris

Subject:

RE: Sills v. Southern Baptist Convention et al

Ron, I am sorry that I am just seeing your email. I was out of pocket all day and just now getting back to my computer. I see that y'all filed your motion. What are your thoughts on extending the CMO deadlines again? Katherine

Katherine Barrett Riley P.O. Box 927 Lexington, MS 39095 662-834-2488 kbriley@barrettlawgroup.com

From: Ronald G. Harris <rharris@nealharwell.com>

Sent: Friday, June 7, 2024 12:26 PM

To: Katherine Barrett Riley < KBRiley@BarrettLawGroup.com>

Subject: FW: Sills v. Southern Baptist Convention et al

We got your email this morning and have tried to reach you by telephone. We sent an email yesterday that asked for 2 weeks until June 21 (see below). Phil Elbert advised yesterday that we needed the additional time because of the amount of information involved, our client's work schedule and the time demands on other cases by our attorneys (I have had a major exhibit production in a federal criminal case and Phil is in the middle of a preliminary injunction fight –hearing on Monday). We respectfully request two additional weeks (which actually amounts to the same amount of time that we originally requested)..Please let us know as soon as possible.

From: Ronald G. Harris

Sent: Thursday, June 6, 2024 2:41 PM

To: Katherine Barrett Riley < KBRiley@BarrettLawGroup.com>

Cc: Philip N. Elbert < pelbert@nealharwell.com >; Olivia R. Arboneaux < oarboneaux@nealharwell.com >; Nanci-Taylor

Maddux < NTMaddux@BarrettLawGroup.com>

Subject: RE: Sills v. Southern Baptist Convention et al

Hi Katherine, sorry that you have to deal with this on your vacation. As I overheard Phil Elbert ask you in the phone call, we need additional time to respond on behalf of Jennifer to the outstanding discovery requests. We appreciate you originally granting us an extension until June 7. In my prior request I had asked for a longer extension than June 7, suspecting the time needed due to amount of information we would have to go through and the logistics of doing so. During that prior conversation, you said you would consider additional time if we needed it. Although we have made significant progress, we still need some additional time to complete our response. I understand from listening to your conversation with Phil, that you would not be willing to give us a two-week extension but would consider a 10 day extension for our responses, subject to checking with the other attorneys on your team. Thanks for your consideration.

Thanks..

Ron Harris

From: Katherine Barrett Riley < KBRiley@BarrettLawGroup.com>

Sent: Tuesday, May 21, 2024 6:56 PM

To: Ronald G. Harris < rharris@nealharwell.com >

Cc: Philip N. Elbert < pelbert@nealharwell.com >; Olivia R. Arboneaux < oarboneaux@nealharwell.com >; Nanci-Taylor

Maddux < NTMaddux@BarrettLawGroup.com>

Subject: RE: Sills v. Southern Baptist Convention et al

Hi, Ron. This confirms that I have granted an extension until June 7, and I am willing to discuss a further extension for a rolling production of documents after that date. Katherine

Katherine Barrett Riley P.O. Box 927 Lexington, MS 39095 662-834-2488 kbriley@barrettlawgroup.com

From: Ronald G. Harris < rharris@nealharwell.com>

Sent: Tuesday, May 21, 2024 6:50 PM

To: Katherine Barrett Riley < KBRiley@BarrettLawGroup.com>

Cc: Philip N. Elbert < pelbert@nealharwell.com >; Olivia R. Arboneaux < oarboneaux@nealharwell.com >

Subject: Sills v. Southern Baptist Convention et al

Katherine, this is to confirm our conversation today that you are willing to give our client Jennifer Lyell an extension, up to and including June 7 to respond to the

outstanding discovery requests that the Plaintiffs had propounded to Ms. Lyell. I asked for a longer extension of time given the amount of material that we are going to

have to review. You advised that you had given other defendants an extension until June 7 and wanted to hold that date for now for "house-keeping purposes." This is to confirm that you advised "off the record" that we could revisit that date with you if we needed more time.

Thanks for your cooperation in this matter.

Ron